

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE.	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/685,466	10/10/2000		Mary Jane Michael	3302	
7:	7590 06/01/2005			EXAMINER	
Jerry Semer			WEAVER	, SUE A	
617 Croghan Street Fremont, OH 43420				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		•		3727	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathscr{S}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/685,466	MICHAEL, MARY JANE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sue A. Weaver	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC a, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		-				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	<u> 1arch 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 5-13,16 and 20-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 5-13,16 and 20-22 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	-				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen  2. Certified copies of the priority documen  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have been in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-692)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3727

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 5, 7-13 and 16 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kohn in view of Siegel and Bird, for the reasons set forth in paragraph 2 of the previous Office action of 8/27/2004.

- 2. Claims 6 and 20 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Cantor for the reasons set forth in paragraph 3 of the previous Office action.
- 3. Claims 21 and 22 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 5 and 11 above, and further in view of Murphy for the reasons set forth in paragraph 4 of the previous Office action.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 11-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Marshall.

The expression "a removable liner for a purse" is considered to be no more than a statement of intended use which does not patentably distinguish the structure claimed in claims 11-13 and 16 over the structure of Marshall. Note that Marshall teaches a

liner with removable pouches 14 which lie on four of the interior sides. The liner has a bottom as claimed and the pouches may be secured with snaps 16 or hook and loop material and may have differing sizes to be used according to the contents.

5. Claims 5-10 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Marshall, taken alone or further in view of Hatcher.

Applicant claims a purse without any particular structure other than a housing with an inside, outside and opening and handle.

Note that the crate of Marshal defines just such ah housing with an inside, an outside, and opening at the top and handles attached by the upper rim construction, no patentable distinction is seen between the construction of the crate and liner taught by Marshall and the construction claimed in claims 1-10 and 20. Note that the combination taught by Marshall includes outer removable pouches on the exterior of the crate which may be attached by snaps or hook and loop material, as claimed.

To the extent that applicant would argue that the term "purse" implies a particular construction, Hatcher teaches a design for a handbag also considered to function as a purse which is designed as a crate. To have sized the combination of Marshall for such use would have been obvious in view of the design taught by Hatcher. Alternatively to have provided Hatcher with the liner arrangement taught by Marshall for sue in other arrangement would also have been obvious in view of such teaching.

Art Unit: 3727

6. Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 5 and 11 above, and further in view of Muller.

To have further provided the removable liner or container with partitions or additional inner walls permit further organization of the contents would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Muller of a partitioned insert which is removable.

- 7. Applicant's arguments, see page 9 of the amendment, filed 12/1/04, with respect to the rejection of claims 20 under 35 USC 112 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claim 20 has been withdrawn.
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 12/1/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments appear to be directed to the purported merits and advantages of the invention described in the disclosure and not the structure claimed.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Siegel clearly teaches applicants concept of providing a removable insert for a purse which receives removable pouches, so that the insert might be used in various different housings and the contents arranged in the removable pouches according to need. Kohn clearly demonstrates that a removable insert having four sides instead of the two taught by

Art Unit: 3727

Siegel is well known in the art. In provision of removable pouches on additional walls when there are additional walls would have been most obvious in view of the prior art of record including Bird. To provide the additional walls with means to receive additional container simply allows one to carry and organize more items.

In response to applicant's argument that the removable pouches permits the user to design their own purse according to their needs, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See Ex parte Obiaya, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

Claims 1-4, 14, 15 and 17-19 have been canceled.

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Denham et al show another crate handle attachment.
- The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

## **Certificate of Mailing**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

**Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Application/Control Number: 09/685,466

Art Unit: 3727

Page 6

	on (Date)
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
	Signature:
	Registration Number:
	Certificate of Transmission
	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) on  (Date)
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
	Signature:
	Registration Number:
facsim	Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning ile transmissions and mailing, respectively.
11.	Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
exami	ner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is 571 272-
4548.	The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.
_	. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding
is assi	gned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/685,466

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 7

SW